

## Note

### Latin Squares and Superqueens

F. K. HWANG

*Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey*

AND

KO-WEI LIH

*Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica,  
Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China*

*Communicated by R. L. Graham*

Received August 1, 1981

Let  $L$  be a Latin square of order  $n$  with entries from  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . In addition,  $L$  is said to have the  $(n, k)$  property if, in each right or left wrap around diagonal, the number of cells with entries smaller than  $k$  is exactly  $k$ . It is established that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Latin squares having the  $(n, k)$  property is that of  $(2|n \Rightarrow 2|k)$  and  $(3|n \Rightarrow 3|k)$ . Also, these Latin squares are related to a problem of placing nonattacking queens on a toroidal chessboard.

An  $n \times n$  array,  $L = \{(i, j) | 0 \leq i, j < n\}$ , is a Latin square of order  $n$  if its cells have entries from  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  in such a way that every number appears exactly once in each row and each column. For  $0 \leq j < n$ , the  $j$ th right diagonal of  $L$  is defined to be the set of cells  $\{(i, j+i) | i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , and the  $j$ th left diagonal is the set  $\{(i, j-i) | i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . (We adopt the convention that all arithmetic done in this paper is modulo  $n$  unless specified otherwise.) A diagonal is said to be complete if each of  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$  appears in that diagonal. A Latin square  $L$  is called a Knut Vik design if every right and left diagonal of  $L$  is complete. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Knut Vik designs, as established in Hedayat [2], is that  $n$  not be divisible by 2 or 3. The definition Knut Vik designs can be relaxed in various ways to obtain more comprehensive classes of interesting Latin squares. For example, Hwang [3] introduced the notion of a crisscross Latin square, which is a Latin square having all even right

diagonals and all odd left diagonals complete. It is known from [3] that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of crisscross Latin squares is that  $n$  be divisible by 4. In this paper, we generalize Knut Vik designs in yet another direction and establish a necessary and sufficient condition for their existence.

We say that a Latin square  $L$  of order  $n$  has the  $(n, k)$  property,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , if, on any right or left diagonal, the number of cells with entries less than  $k$  is exactly  $k$ . Clearly, a Latin square of order  $n$  is a Knut Vik design if and only if it has the  $(n, k)$  property simultaneously for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We shall see that Latin squares with the  $(n, k)$  property are closely related to a classical problem concerning the chessboard. A queen on a chessboard can attack any other piece on the same horizontal, vertical, or ordinary diagonal lines. If we treat the chessboard as if it were a torus and allow a queen to wrap around to the opposite edge, then we call it a superqueen. Can we place  $n$  mutually nonattacking superqueens on an  $n \times n$  chessboard? Pólya [4] shows that the answer is yes if and only if  $n$  is not divisible by 2 or 3. We say that the  $(n, k)$  superqueen problem is solvable if we can place  $nk$  superqueens on an  $n \times n$  chessboard such that every superqueen attacks exactly  $k - 1$  other superqueens along each of the horizontal, vertical, right, and left diagonal lines through itself. Put in this terminology, Pólya's result means that the  $(n, 1)$  superqueen problem is solvable if and only if  $n$  is not divisible by 2 or 3. The reader is referred to Chandra [1] for another independent generalization of Pólya's result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a Latin square having the  $(n, k)$  property.*
- (ii) *The  $(n, k)$  superqueen problem is solvable.*
- (iii) *Conditions  $(2 \mid n \Rightarrow 2 \mid k)$  and  $(3 \mid n \Rightarrow 3 \mid k)$  apply.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This is obvious when we place superqueens on cells whose entries are less than  $k$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose the  $(n, k)$  superqueen problem is solvable. Consider the cells occupied by superqueens,  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{nk-1}, y_{nk-1})$ . Let  $N_k$  denote the multiset

$$\{\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_k, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, \dots, \underbrace{n-1, \dots, n-1}_k\}.$$

Then, we have  $N_k = \{x_i\} = \{y_i\} = \{y_i + x_i\} = \{y_i - x_i\}$ , where  $i = 0, 1, \dots, nk - 1$  in each of the four sets. It follows that

$$k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i = \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} (y_i - x_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} y_i - \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i = 0,$$

i.e.,  $n$  divides  $kn(n-1)/2$ . Thus,  $k$  is even if  $n$  is. On the otherhand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} (y_i - x_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} y_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i y_i \\ &= 2k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i y_i, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i^2 = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i y_i$ . We know, however, that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} (y_i + x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} (y_i - x_i)^2 = 4 \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} x_i y_i = 0.$$

So  $n$  divides  $kn(n-1)(2n-1)/3$ . Hence  $k$  is divisible by 3 if  $n$  is.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). This part will follow from Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 2.** *There exists a Latin square  $L_n = (l_{ij})$  with the  $(n, k)$  property simultaneously for*

- (i)  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  if  $2 \nmid n$  and  $3 \nmid n$ ,
- (ii)  $k = 2, 4, 6, \dots, n$  if  $2 \mid n$  and  $3 \nmid n$ ,
- (iii)  $k = 3, 6, 9, \dots, n$  if  $2 \nmid n$  and  $3 \mid n$ ,
- (iv)  $k = 6, 12, 18, \dots, n$  if  $2 \mid n$  and  $3 \mid n$ .

*Proof.* Latin square  $L_n$  for (i) and (iii) is given in Case I.  $L_n$  for (ii) and (iv) is given in Cases II and III according to whether 4 divides  $n$ .

**Case I.**  $2 \nmid n$ . Let  $l_{ij} = 2i + j$ . Then, the entries on the  $b$ th left diagonal form the set  $\{x + b \mid x = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and the entries on the  $b$ th right diagonal form the set  $\{3x + b \mid x = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Clearly,  $L_n$  satisfies either (i) or (iii).

**Case II.**  $2 \mid n$  but  $4 \nmid n$ . Let  $l_{ij} = 5i + j$ . The entries on the  $b$ th left diagonal form the set  $\{4x + b \mid x = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and the entries on the  $b$ th right diagonal form the set  $\{6x + b \mid x = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Again, it is easily verified that  $L_n$  satisfies either (ii) or (iv).

**Case III.**  $4 \mid n$ . We first construct a Latin rectangle  $R_n = \{(i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < \frac{1}{2}n, 0 \leq j < n\}$  with entries  $r_{ij}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= 2i + j - 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ &= -2i + j - 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

TABLE I

	<i>b</i> th right diagonal		<i>b</i> th left diagonal	
	<i>b</i> even	<i>b</i> odd	<i>b</i> even	<i>b</i> odd
Even subset	$6x + (b - 1)$	$6x + (b + 2)$	$2x + (b - 1)$	$2x + b$
Odd subset	$-2x + (b - 2)$	$-2x + (b - 1)$	$-6x + (b - 4)$	$-6x + (b - 1)$

Each row of  $R_n$  is complete and each column has distinct entries. For  $0 \leq b < n$ , define the  $b$ th right diagonal of  $R_n$  to be the set of cells  $\{(t, t + b) | t = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , where the first coordinate is reduced modulo  $n/2$  and the second coordinate is reduced modulo  $n$ . The  $b$ th left diagonal is defined similarly.

*Claim.* If  $3 \nmid n$ , then each right or left diagonal of  $R_n$  is complete. If  $3 \mid n$ , then each right and left diagonal of  $R_n$  has exactly  $k$  entries less than  $k$  simultaneously for  $k = 6, 12, 18, \dots, n$ .

To prove this, we partition each diagonal into two subsets according to whether the second coordinate of a cell is even or odd. Once the labels of even and odd subsets are clearly identified, the claim can be easily checked. The labels are classified in Table I. In each of the listed cases,  $x = 0, 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$ .

Now, let  $R'_n$  be the  $\frac{1}{2}n \times n$  Latin rectangle obtained from  $R_n$  by adding 1 to every even entry and subtracting 1 from every odd entry. Finally, define  $L_n = [R'_n]$ . It is straightforward to verify (ii) and (iv) for  $L_n$ .

The Latin squares of the three smallest orders constructed by the method in Case III are displayed below to help the reader visualize the procedure.

$$L_4: \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$L_8: \begin{array}{cccccccc} 7 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 7 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & 7 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 0 & 7 & 2 & 1 \end{array}$$

$L_{12}$ :	11	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	1	10	3	0	5	2	7	4	9	6	11	8
	3	8	5	10	7	0	9	2	11	4	1	6
	5	6	7	8	9	10	10	0	1	2	3	4
	7	4	9	6	11	8	1	10	3	0	5	2
	9	2	11	4	1	6	3	8	5	10	7	0
	10	1	0	3	2	5	4	7	6	9	8	11
	0	11	2	1	4	3	6	5	8	7	10	9
	2	9	4	11	6	1	8	3	10	5	0	7
	4	7	6	9	8	11	10	1	0	3	2	5
	6	5	8	7	10	9	0	11	2	1	4	3
	8	3	10	5	0	7	2	9	4	11	6	1

## REFERENCES

1. A. K. CHANDRA, Independent permutations, as related to a problem of Moser and a theorem of Pólya, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **16** (1974), 111–120.
2. A. HEDAYAT, A complete solution to the existence and nonexistence of Knut Vik designs and orthogonal Knut Vik designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 331–337.
3. F. K. HWANG, Crisscross Latin squares, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **27** (1979), 371–375.
4. G. PÓLYA, Über die *doppelt-periodischen* Lösungen des *n*-Damen-Problems, in “Mathematische, Unterhaltungen und Spiele” (W. Ahrens, Ed.), pp. 364–374, Vol. II, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1918.